

Why sociality affects creativity: lessons from autism

Pennisi Paola (ppennisi@unime.it)

Linguistic Centre of Messina University (CLAM), 54 Via Luciano Manara
Messina, ME 98123 Italy

Giallongo Laura (lgiallongo@unime.it)

Department of Cognitive Sciences, 6/8 via Concezione
Messina, ME 98121 Italy

Keywords: creativity; autism; imagination; social cognition; divergent thinking; insight

Introduction

As human beings we are social. All of us had to be included in a group to survive; most of us highly desire to live and collaborate with others on a daily basis. In this paper we will try to show how our sociality (considered as the inclination to live and collaborate with other co-specifics) affects our creativity.

How sociality affects creativity

Creativity, in fact, means being yourself, seeing the world in a way that is different from that of others. Each time that we perceive the world, we collect or ignore some data, we focus on something and neglect something else. Each perception is a creative act and this is showed not only by the Kanizsa's triangle or other similar optic illusions, but even by our spontaneous impulse to build our reality. When we are in love, for example, we are more inclined to interpret the gestures of our object of love in the direction that we would like to be the real one. In this condition we could easily mistake a wink aimed at the expulsion of a hair from the other's eye with a wink towards us. The thirst makes us see the water even where it is not there. What we call reality is an interspecific bargaining of the meaning of a perception.

Our sociality can push us to creativity in many ways: inviting us to solve problems, providing new information, criticizing one of our acts of creation or even inviting us to brainstorm. Societies also often reward creativity. But the *eureka*, the act of creating a different way of thinking something will take place only if we are able to go beyond the conformity of our perceptions with those of others.

Working definition for "creativity"

Creativity is a very heterogeneous concept. Here we will consider "creativity" as the ability to generate multiple solutions to a problem.

This definition encompasses in the same category the divergent thinking, insights and artistic creativity¹.

¹ This last can be seen as the essay of the artist to resolve the problem of representing his subjects.

Creativity in autism

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and interaction and restricted and repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests or activities (APA 2013). Among the numerous consequences of the disorder, there are the lack of spontaneous symbolic play (Jarrold et al. 1993); anomalies in imagination (Low et al. 2009); difficulties to understand metaphors (Hobson 2012; Rumbad & Annaz 2010); very poor dreamlike activity (Daoust et al. 2007). For these reasons, subjects with autism are frequently considered less creative than subjects without autism. I.e. Craig and Baron Cohen (1999) described autistic creativity as a reality-based creativity and opposed it to the imaginative creativity of people without autism (Craig & Baron Cohen 1999).

The artistic productions of some savants with autism are famous for their proximity to reality – i.e. Stephen Wiltshire's productions, or Nadia's drawings (Selfe 2011). However a lot of other productions of autistic subjects show that the disorder doesn't affect the imaginative creativity: see i.e. Tammet (2008) or fig.1, which is a drawing made a 7 years autistic child.



Figure 1

based on a reality-based form of creativity that is impossible to artlessly catch for people without autism (Grandin 1995).

As we will try to show in the full paper, the lacking of social affordances in subjects with autism greatly enhance their creativity, making their professional or artistic contribution very original for many fields of studies.

Subjects with autism, in fact, can think and imagine things in different ways than that of the most part of the population because they are less subject to perceptive and psychological biases linked to human sociality. I.e. their ability to make physical causation inference is superior than that of the most part of the population; on the contrary

emotional and intentional inferences are more difficult for subjects with autism than for the rest of the population (Pennisi 2016).

Why not all subjects with autism are creative?

Unfortunately, neurodevelopmental disorders are frequently associated with a low IQ. Below a certain IQ, it is rarely possible to express one's creativity in a way that is comprehensible to others. Some talents sometimes manage to emerge, such as in the case of Nadia (Selfe 2011), but normally too low intellectual quotients do not allow the expression of the creativity of one's own creativity.

For all those subjects with autism who have an average or above average IQ, creativity is probably hidden where we are not used to looking for it. The absence of social motivation (Chevallier et al. 2012) turns into the habit of not asking others to help solve their problems and not to receive requests for help in solving problems. But in a world where the rules of sociability are a far-off buzz, the need to solve everyday problems requires the use of creativity. I.e., a child with autism who wants to open a door handle too high for him could easily take the adult's hand next to him and use it as a tool to open the door, rather than explicitly asking for help. Certainly this is a not very conventional way of "using" the adult's arm. Italian journalist Gianluca Nicoletti, father of a boy with autism (Tommaso), tells how his son, interested in not losing his favorite cassette during a move, was able to find a way to identify the right tape in a mountain of identical boxes (Nicoletti 2015). Nobody knows exactly what strategy the boy used, but certainly it hides an attitude to think and perceive the mountain of boxes in a totally different way from the rest of the family. An ordinary child would have simply asked the mother to remember for him and she would have drawn something on the outside of the box.

The point is that creativity is always linked to something pre-existing. It is likely that, in the eyes of people without autism, many tactics used by individuals with autism are creative, whereas for Tommaso, the ability to locate the cassette in the box was not an act of creativity, but just the result of having followed his normal flow of thought, which simply has characteristics different from that of most of the population.

We all have a creative mind, but the pressure of sociability pushes us to inhibit part of our potential in order to better understand others and be better integrated into social groups.

In the full paper we will try to prove our hypothesis by providing a wider analysis of numerous case studies.

Conclusions

The study of autistic cognition is a precious source of information on the usual functioning of human cognition. In fact, it shows the link between attitude to sociality and all the rest of cognitive processes. Autistic cognition teaches us

that creativity is not an empyrean concept and that we are always creative with respect to something else.

Creativity with respect to the usual ways of thinking is the active effort to alter our usual flow of thought in order to solve a problem that we are not able to solve with previously used methods. Creativity with respect to society, on the other hand, is a style of thought that deviates from the one that is accepted by the rest of the group. In most people the two things often coincide; but at any moment we have the possibility of exerting an active effort to get rid of a habit of thought and to create one that has not yet been explored yet.

References

- American Psychiatric Association., & American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5*. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Association.
- Chevallier, C., Kohls, G., Troiani, V., Brodtkin, E. S., & Schultz, R. T. (2012). The social motivation theory of autism. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 16(4), 231-239.
- Craig, J., & Baron-Cohen, S. (1999). Creativity and imagination in autism and Asperger syndrome. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 29(4), 319-326.
- Daoust, A. M., Lusignan, F. A., Braun, C. M., Mottron, L., & Godbout, R. (2008). Dream content analysis in persons with an autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 38(4), 634-643.
- Grandin, T. (1995). *Thinking in pictures: And other reports from my life with autism*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Hobson, R. P. (2012). Autism, literal language and concrete thinking: Some developmental considerations. *Metaphor and Symbol*, 27(1), 4-21.
- Jarrold, C., Boucher, J., & Smith, P. (1993). Symbolic play in autism: A review. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 23(2), 281-307.
- Low, J., Goddard, E., & Melser, J. (2009). Generativity and imagination in autism spectrum disorder: Evidence from individual differences in children's impossible entity drawings. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 27(2), 425-444.
- Nicoletti, G., (2015). *Una notte ho sognato che parlavi: Così ho imparato a fare il padre di mio figlio autistico*. Milano: Mondadori.
- Pennisi, P. (2016). Inferential abilities and pragmatic deficits in subjects with Autism Spectrum Disorders. In *Pragmatics and Theories of Language Use* (pp. 749-768). Springer, Cham.
- Rundblad, G., & Annaz, D. (2010). The atypical development of metaphor and metonymy comprehension in children with autism. *Autism*, 14(1), 29-46.
- Selfe, L. (2011). *Nadia Revisited: A Longitudinal Study of an Autistic Savant*. Hoboken: Taylor & Francis.
- Tammet, D. (2008). *Born on a blue day: A memoir of Asperger's and an extraordinary mind*. Anstey, Leicester: F.A. Thorpe.

Author's relevant publications

- Capone, A., Falzone, A., Pennisi, P. (2018), *Pronominals and presuppositions in that-clauses of indirect reports*, in Capone, A., García-Carpintero, M., Falzone, A. (editors) (2018), *Indirect Reports and Pragmatics in the World Languages*, Cham: Springer, pp. 227-242
- Cazzato D., Adamo F., Palestra G. C., Crifaci G., Ruta L., Pioggia G., Pennisi, P., Leo M., Distanti C., (2015, November). *Non-intrusive and calibration free visual exploration analysis in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder*. In *Computational Vision and Medical Image Processing V: Proceedings of the 5th Ecomas Thematic Conference on Computational Vision and Medical Image Processing (VipIMAGE 2015, Tenerife, Spain, October 19-21, 2015)* (p. 201). CRC Press. (ISBN 9781315642796)
- Falzone, A., Gangemi, A., Pennisi, P., Fabio, R. A. (2015) *Correlations Between Linguistic Phenotype and Genetic Alterations in Rett Syndrome*. «CEUR Workshop Proceedings». O8/2015, Vol 1419, pp. 605 – 610
- Pennisi, P. (2016), *What the autistic style of drawing says about the development of language?*, in «Reti, saperi e linguaggi», n. 10, anno 5, 2/2016 (ISSN 2279-7777)
- Pennisi, P. (2018), *Mente incarnata e linguaggio: la dimensione aspettuale nella cognizione autistica*, «Lexia», gennaio 2018, nn. 27-28, pp. 465-492. ISSN: 1720-5298.
- Pennisi, P. (2018), *Our mind is still inside our skin*, in «RSL, Italian Journal of Cognitive Sciences», 1/2018 a. 7 (13), pp. 19-24, ISSN 1826-8889
- Pennisi, P. (2019), “Personal reference in subjects with autism”, in Capone, A., Carapezza, M., Lo Piparo, F. (eds.). *Further Advances in Pragmatics and Philosophy Part 2 Theories and Applications*, Cham: Springer
- Pennisi, P. (2019), “Research in Clinical Pragmatics: The essence of a new philosophy, the state of the art and future research”, in Capone, A., Carapezza, M., Lo Piparo, F. (eds.). *Further Advances in Pragmatics and Philosophy Part 2 Theories and Applications*, Cham: Springer
- Pennisi, P., (2016) *Inferential abilities and pragmatic deficits in subjects with Autism Spectrum Disorders*, in Allan, K., Capone, A., Keckes, I., «Pragmemes and Theories of Language Use». Springer: Cham, pp. 749 – 768
- Pennisi, P., (2016). *Il linguaggio dell'autismo: studi sulla comunicazione silenziosa e la pragmatica delle parole*. Il Mulino, Bologna, 2016 (ISBN: 978-88-15-26595-)
- Pennisi, P., (in press), “Happiness and unhappiness of performative acts: second language acquisition and psychopathological behaviors” in Pennisi, P., Falzone A. (eds.) *The Extended Theory of Cognitive Creativity. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Performativity*, Cham: Springer
- Pennisi, P., (in press), “The contextual, enabling, and constitutive role of physical experience in narratives”, in Sinding, M. (ed.) *Narrative, Cognition & Science*
- Pennisi, P., Tonacci, A., Tartarisco, G., Billeci, L., Ruta, L., Gangemi, S., Pioggia, G. (2015) *Autism and Social Robotics: a systematic review*, «Autism Research», 2015. Doi 10.1002/aur.1527